THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES PRESS

This PDF is available at http://nap.edu/22079

SHARE









Recommended Community Noise Model Enhancements to Improve Prediction of Helicopter Activity Impacts (2015)

DETAILS

4 pages | 8.5 x 11 | PAPERBACK ISBN 978-0-309-37496-5 | DOI 10.17226/22079

GET THIS BOOK

FIND RELATED TITLES

CONTRIBUTORS

Wyle Laboratories, Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, Netherlands Aerospace Centre (NLR), and KB Environmental Sciences, Inc.; Airport Cooperative Research Program; Transportation Research Board; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine

SUGGESTED CITATION

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2015. *Recommended Community Noise Model Enhancements to Improve Prediction of Helicopter Activity Impacts*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/22079.

Visit the National Academies Press at NAP.edu and login or register to get:

- Access to free PDF downloads of thousands of scientific reports
- 10% off the price of print titles
- Email or social media notifications of new titles related to your interests
- Special offers and discounts



Distribution, posting, or copying of this PDF is strictly prohibited without written permission of the National Academies Press. (Request Permission) Unless otherwise indicated, all materials in this PDF are copyrighted by the National Academy of Sciences.

Responsible Senior Program Officer: Joseph D. Navarrete

Research Results Digest 24

RECOMMENDED COMMUNITY NOISE MODEL ENHANCEMENTS TO IMPROVE PREDICTION OF HELICOPTER ACTIVITY IMPACTS

This digest summarizes the findings of ACRP Project 02-44, "Guidance for Helicopter Community Noise Prediction." The research team was led by Wyle Laboratories and included the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, Netherlands Aerospace Centre (NLR), and KB Environmental Sciences.

SUMMARY

Predicting noise impacts from aircraft activity typically involves a complex modeling process, and accounting for helicopter and tiltrotor aircraft remains a particularly challenging aspect of aircraft noise modeling. This digest provides an overview of research conducted to identify improvements to the most common methods for predicting helicopter community noise, including the research tasks, findings, final conclusions, and next steps. While the research did not attempt to identify which noise metric best predicts annoyance, it offers a computational methodology from which suggested metrics may be accurately determined.

BACKGROUND

Historically, the study of noise impacts from aviation has been focused on fixed-wing aircraft, while noise impacts from helicopter and new-technology rotary-wing aircraft have received less attention due to their greater complexity. The FAA Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT) is currently the agency's required tool for NEPA-related studies and FAR Part 150 studies. The fixed-wing aircraft noise prediction

techniques used in AEDT rely on the widely accepted methodologies described in documents such as SAE International's SAE-AIR-1845 and the European Civil Aviation Conference's Document 29. However, there has been no peer-reviewed guidance document describing an integrated modeling technique for the prediction of noise from rotary-wing aircraft. While higher fidelity rotorcraft noise models exist, and advancements to the state of the art continue, the industry recognized the need to conduct research focused on enhancing typical community noise modeling practice, level of effort, and input data constraints.

The objective of the research was to review, evaluate, and document current helicopter noise models and identify potential improvements to AEDT to better capture the unique complexity of helicopter operations.

The review and evaluation of current models began with an examination of each method's input requirements, assumptions, algorithms, database coverage, outputs, and validation history. An assessment was made as to their ability to capture the unique noise characteristics of helicopter operations, including takeoffs and landings, overflights, hovering, and orbiting. The review also critiqued each method's

strengths and weaknesses from a user experience perspective, including database availability and accuracy, user interface, runtime, and output. Based on this review, potential technical refinements to AEDT, specifically designed to improve the model's prediction of helicopter activity, were developed. Next, an outreach effort was conducted within the international rotorcraft noise community to solicit feedback. Input was received from more than 150 practitioners from several countries, representing manufacturers, noise modeling researchers, airport and heliport operators, and agencies and associations involved with helicopter operations, research, regulation, and oversight.

Based on the research and industry feedback, the research team prepared a final list of conclusions and a draft supplemental document for calculating helicopter noise levels using AEDT. This supplemental document has been structured and written in the style of the AEDT Technical Reference so that it outlines in mathematical and algorithmic format the conclusions of this project. It was created to serve as a starting point for a future international standards review process.

FINDINGS

The framework for AEDT modeling is well established for fixed-wing aircraft. The historical integrated Heliport Noise Model forms the basis of the AEDT rotorcraft core. As with most noise models, these key elements must be included:

- Source noise characteristics (level, directivity, spectra/metrics, conventional/tiltrotors).
- Operational capabilities (takeoff, landing, hoverin/out of ground effect, orbiting, tiltrotor-specific modes).
- Propagation modeling (atmospheric models, natural and urban terrain, spectral domain, range).
- Community Noise Metrics (single and multiple operation contours, standard and supplemental metrics).

The research revealed that the primary focus for improving AEDT capabilities with regard to predicting helicopter noise impacts should be on source modeling, including spectral content, lateral directivity, and operational sensitivity. Following is a prioritized list of seven key conclusions:

- AEDT should be capable of computing the following metrics: Maximum Sound Level (L_{max}), Sound Exposure Level (SEL), Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL or Ldn), Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL), Perceived Noise Level (PNL), Tone-Corrected Perceived Noise Level (PNLT), Effective Perceived Noise Level (EPNL), Weighted Equivalent Continuous Perceived Noise Level (WECPNL), Maximum C-weighted Sound Level (L_{maxC}), C-weighted Sound Exposure Level (CSEL), d-Prime Audibility (DPRIME), Number-of-events Above (NA), and Time Above a Specified Level (TAL).
- 2. AEDT should model lateral source characteristics with sufficient (a) angular fidelity to capture directional Blade Vortex Interaction (BVI) noise and (b) lateral extent to account for changes in vehicle roll angle. Under vehicle-specific approach flight conditions the rotorwake interaction can cause significant increases in noise source emission over highly directive regions. Modeling of rotorcraft in regions with urban and natural terrain and inclusion of bank angle in the noise analysis can require vehicle source characteristics to be defined well outside the current 45° extent defined in AEDT/INM.
- 3. The model's spectral content should include one-third octave bands down to 10 Hz. The low-frequency trade study demonstrated a strong sensitivity to inclusion of low-frequency effects below 50 Hz for helicopters over the range of distances (0–25,000 ft) included in the AEDT/INM NPD database for C-weighted metrics and for the supplemental metric d-Prime. Researchers found that variations due to incorporation of the low-frequency content exceed the established criteria for AEDT/INM spectral class selection for C-weighted metrics; therefore, the rotorcraft should be modeled down to 10 Hz.
- 4. The model should include the effects of approach flight path angle on source noise characteristics. Significant changes to the source noise emissions can occur when flight path angle (FPA) is adjusted. During BVI the blade and wake are in close proximity to one another. Changes of FPA by a few degrees can enter BVI condition and cause large

- changes in noise, exceeding 10 dBA and must be considered.
- 5. The model should include the changes in noise source characteristics from maneuvering flight if: (a) one needs to model or optimize lownoise rotorcraft profiles or take into account approach drag devices for BVI-avoidance, or (b) L_{max} and other maximum non-integrated metric values are to be predicted on a highfidelity spatial mesh in the vicinity of flight maneuvers, or (c) time above metrics are to be computed from flights whose maneuver time durations are significant. Maneuvering flight is an active area of research, and helicopter performance modeling capabilities are currently under development for AEDT and other noise models. Funded Advanced Acoustic Model (AAM)ⁱ [Page et al. 2010] maneuvering flight implementation project also suggests that simplified source equivalences based on gross kinematic parameters will be available in the near future.
- 6. The model should account for the effect of tiltrotor transition between airplane and helicopter modes. Flexible profile modeling is needed to capture all possible operational procedures. Consideration should be given to the inclusion of source fidelity to capture the relative wing/rotor loading during transition mode. Changes should be made to the model including the capability to handle tiltrotor movements and transition noise source emission (NPD and Spectral Class).
- 7. The method described and proposed in the report entitled "Detailed Weather and Terrain Analysis for Aircraft Noise Modeling" for inclusion of higher fidelity atmospheric and terrain modeling in AEDT is suggested. It was found that the propagation algorithms in AEDT are sufficient, and only specific

airport considerations will necessitate the inclusion of terrain, shielding, and/or variable ground impedance. Therefore no conclusion to always or never include such effects can be made; however, the AEDT/INM model should be capable of higher fidelity modeling.

NEXT STEPS

Several process and technical steps are needed to incorporate the research conclusions into community noise models.

From a process standpoint, the modeling conclusions and supplemental document are intended to help guide development of a draft helicopter and tiltrotor noise modeling standards document under the aus-pices of the SAE A-21 Aviation Noise and Emis-sions Committee in the United States, and possibly also the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) and the European Civil Aviation Conference (ECAC) AIRMOD Group.

From a technical standpoint, the following steps would need to be taken:

- 1. Determine a suitable methodology for development of a comprehensive AEDT helicopter and tiltrotor database. Examine existing rotorcraft noise databases and research and develop specific processes for creation of an AEDT noise database that includes the expanded data itemized in the project recommendations (spectra, NPD mode data, and directivity information) for existing and retired vehicles. The methodology should be flexible and applicable to a variety of cases for which limited and extensive acoustic empirical data are available. The process should be tested using select rotorcraft and validated with measurement data. Develop specific AEDT input data requirements (empirical and analytical). The process should be peer reviewed and reflect stakeholder input.
- Exercise the process for an expanded AEDT helicopter and tiltrotor fleet. Document and provide tools that can be used in the future to create additional database parameters for new and derivative rotorcraft.
- 3. Perform the necessary AEDT software modeling updates which implement the modeling recommendations and take advantage of

Page, Juliet A., Wilmer, C., Schultz, T., Plotkin, K. J., Czech, J., 2010. "Advanced Acoustic Model Technical Reference and User Manual," Department of Defense, SERDP Project WP-1304. Available from https://www.serdp-estcp.org/Program-Areas/Weapons-Systems-and-Platforms/Noise-and-Emissions/Noise/WP-1304/%28language%29/eng-US

ⁱⁱPlotkin, K. J., Page, J. A., Gurovich, Y., Hobbs, C. M. In *Advanced Acoustic Model Technical Reference and User Manual*, DOT-VNTSC-FAA-14-08, April 2014.

the expanded database. AEDT code updates should be conducted after development of the methodology but in concert with cre-ation of the full helicopter and tiltrotor noise database and aligned with the final approved standard.

This important research has shown that significant advances are possible for improvement of rotorcraft community noise. Development of a com-

prehensive database and incorporation of the modeling improvements in AEDT in conjunction with an approved standard are critical next steps.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

For further information, or to obtain a copy of the contractor's final report or supplemental document, please contact Joseph Navarrete, Senior Program Officer at jnavarrete@nas.edu. Recommended Community Noise Model Enhancements to Improve Prediction of Helicopter Activity Impacts

Transportation Research Board 500 Fifth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001

The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

The nation turns to the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine for independent, objective advice on issues that affect people's lives worldwide.

www.national-academies.org

Subscriber Categories: Aviation • Environment

ISBN 978-0-309-37496-5

These digests are issued in order to increase awareness of research results emanating from projects in the Cooperative Research Programs (CRP). Persons wanting to pursue the project subject matter in greater depth should contact the CRP Staff, Transportation Research Board, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 500 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001.

COPYRIGHT INFORMATION

Authors herein are responsible for the authenticity of their materials and for obtaining written permissions from publishers or persons who own the copyright to any previously published or copyrighted material used herein.

Cooperative Research Programs (CRP) grants permission to reproduce material in this publication for classroom and not-for-profit purposes. Permission is given with the understanding that none of the material will be used to imply TRB, AASHTO, FAA, FHWA, FMCSA, FRA, FTA, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology, PHMSA, or TDC endorsement of a particular product, method, or practice. It is expected that those reproducing the material in this document for educational and not-for-profit uses will give appropriate acknowledgment of the source of any reprinted or reproduced material. For other uses of the material, request permission from CRP.